ANNEX B GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT

Name of Agency: _ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS

	Total Amount of Approved APP	Total Number of Procurement Activities	No. of Contracts Awarded	Total Amount of Contracts Awarded	No. of Failed Biddings	Total No. of Entities who Acquired Bid Docs	Total No. of Bidders who Submitted Bids	Total No. of Bidders who passed Eligibility Stage	No. of Bid Opportunities Posted at PhilGEPS	No. of Contract Award Posted at PhilGEPS	Total No. Of Contracts that incurred negative slippage	Total No. of contracts with amendments to order or variation orders	No. of Contracts Awarded within prescribed timeframes
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14
1. Public Bidding*													
1.1. Goods	4,669,000.00	4	4	4,428,417	1	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	10
1.2. Works	24,744,453.46	7	7	20,478,870	0	22	22	22	22	22	0	0	22
1.3. Consulting Services													
Sub-Total	29,413,453.46	11	11	24,907,287.19	1	32	32	32	32	32	0	0	32
2. Alternative Modes													
2.1.1 Shopping (52.1 a above 50K)													
2.1.2 Shopping (52.1 b above 50K)													
2.1.3 Other Shopping													
2.2.1 Direct Contracting (above 50K)													
2.2.2 Direct Contracting (50K or less)													
2.3.1 Repeat Order (above 50K)													
2.3.2 Repeat Order (50K or less)													
2.4. Limited Source Bidding													
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)													
2.5.2 Negotiation (Recognized Government Printers)													
2.5.3 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)													
2.5.4 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)	5,314,559.13	21	21	5,291,163.50									
2.5.5 Other Negotiated Procurement (Others above 50K)													
2.5.6 Other Negotiated Procurement (50K or less)	276,000.00	9	9	259,187.00									
Sub-Total	5,590,559.13	30	30	5,550,350.50					0	0			
3. Foreign Funded Procurement**													
3.1. Publicly-Bid													
3.2. Alternative Modes													
Sub-Total	0.00	0	0	0.00									
4. Others, specify:													
TOTAL	35,004,012.59	41	41	30,457,637.69									

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}$ Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

paggenal	- Mage	Lyxumun	OHE
RUBY F. PERASOL	JOSE S. ARICAYA	EMELYN B. LIGASAN, Ph.D.	GODELYN G. HISOLE, DM
Administrative Officer I / BAC Secretariate Designate	Administrative Officer IV	Campus Administrator	SUC PRESIDENT II

^{**} All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

Name of Agency: Name of Respondent:	ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES - Barotac Nu MR. JOSE S. ARICAYA	Date: Position:	March 10, 2021 BAC CHAIRMAN
·			
	k (\checkmark) mark inside the box beside each condition/requirement met asked. Please note that all questions must be answered completely.	ns provided below and t	hen fill in the corresponding blank
1. Do you have an app	roved APP that includes all types of procurement, given the following	ng conditions? (5a)	
✓ Agen	cy prepares APP using the prescribed format		
	oved APP is posted at the Procuring Entity's Website e provide link: www.iscof.edu.ph		
	nission of the approved APP to the GPPB within the prescribed dea ase provide submission date:	dline	
	Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipmer-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service? (5b)		
✓ Agen	cy prepares APP-CSE using prescribed format		
its Gu	nission of the APP-CSE within the period prescribed by the Departmuldelines for the Preparation of Annual Budget Execution Plans issurate provide submission date: October 29, 2019	-	nagement in
✓ Proof	of actual procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment fr	om DBM-PS	
3. In the conduct of pro	ocurement activities using Repeat Order, which of these conditions	is/are met? (2e)	
Origin	nal contract awarded through competitive bidding		
	noods under the original contract must be quantifiable, divisible and 4) units per item	consisting of at least	
	nit price is the same or lower than the original contract awarded the stageous to the government after price verification	rough competitive biddi	ng which is
The q	quantity of each item in the original contract should not exceed 25%		
origin	lity was used within 6 months from the contract effectivity date state all contract, provided that there has been a partial delivery, inspecting the same period	-	
4. In the conduct of pro	ocurement activities using Limited Source Bidding (LSB), which of the	hese conditions is/are r	net? (2f)
Upon	recommendation by the BAC, the HOPE issues a Certification reso	orting to LSB as the pro	per modality
	aration and Issuance of a List of Pre-Selected Suppliers/Consultant nment authority	s by the PE or an identi	ified relevant
Trans	mittal of the Pre-Selected List by the HOPE to the GPPB		
	n 7cd from the receipt of the acknowledgement letter of the list by the rement opportunity at the PhilGEPS website, agency website, if av.		

place within the agency

5. In giving your	ir prospective bidders sufficient period to prepare their bids, v	which of these conditions is/are met? (3d)
✓	Bidding documents are available at the time of advertisem Agency website;	ent/posting at the PhilGEPS website or
✓	Supplemental bid bulletins are issued at least seven (7) ca	alendar days before bid opening;
✓	Minutes of pre-bid conference are readily available within f	ive (5) days.
6. Do you prepar the following cor	are proper and effective procurement documentation and teconditions? (3e)	hnical specifications/requirements, given the
V	The end-user submits final, approved and complete Purch documents based on relevant characteristics, functionality by the procurement office prior to the commencement of the	and/or performance requirements, as required
✓	No reference to brand names, except for items/parts that a	are compatible with the existing fleet or equipment
✓	Bidding Documents and Requests for Proposal/Quotation Agency website, if applicable, and in conspicuous places	are posted at the PhilGEPS website,
7. In creating you	our BAC and BAC Secretariat which of these conditions is/ar	re present?
For BAC: (4a)	a)	
✓	Office Order creating the Bids and Awards Committee please provide Office Order No.: Board Resolution No.	o. 12-07, s. 2020
✓	There are at least five (5) members of the BAC	
	please provide members and their respective training date	s:
		RA 9184-related training
_		1ay 16, 2017
		arch 23, 2018
_		uly 18, 2018
D. <u>M</u>	MRS. FLOCERPEDA H. BLEA-ONG N	1ay 16, 2017
E. N	MR. TEDDY S. FUENTIVILLA	lay 23, 2018
F		<u> </u>
G		
✓	Members of BAC meet qualifications	
✓	Majority of the members of BAC are trained on R.A. 9184	
For BAC Secre	cretariat: (4b)	
√	Office Order creating of Bids and Awards Committee Secretariat please provide Office Order No.: Board Resolution No.	
V	The Head of the BAC Secretariat meets the minimum qual please provide name of BAC Sec Head: MRS. RU	ifications JBY F. PERASOL
✓	Majority of the members of BAC Secretariat are trained on please provide training date: October 16, 2020	R.A. 9184

^{8.} Have you conducted any procurement activities on any of the following? (5c) If YES, please mark at least one (1) then, answer the question below.

✓	Computer Monitors, Desktop Computers and Laptops	Paints and Varnishes
~	Air Conditioners	Food and Catering Services
	✓ Vehicles	Training Facilities / Hotels / Venues
	Fridges and Freezers	Toilets and Urinals
	Copiers	Textiles / Uniforms and Work Clothes
Do you uso ar		urement activity/ies of the non-CSE item/s?
Do you use gi		
	Yes	No
	g whether you provide up-to-date procultisize met? (7a)	rement information easily accessible at no cost, which of
✓	Agency has a working website please provide link: http://www.iscof.g	edu.ph/index.php/bids-and-awards/
х	Procurement information is up-to-date	
✓	Information is easily accessible at no c	ost
	y with the preparation, posting and submodulitions is/are met? (7b)	ission of your agency's Procurement Monitoring Report,
✓	Agency prepares the PMRs	
✓	PMRs are promptly submitted to the G please provide submission dates:	PPB st Sem - <u>July 15, 2020</u> 2nd Sem - <u>January 15, 2021</u>
✓	PMRs are posted in the agency websit please provide link: http://www.iscof.doi.org/	
✓	PMRs are prepared using the prescribe	ed format
	of procurement activities to achieve desi conditions is/are met? (8c)	red contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe,
✓	There is an established procedure for r	needs analysis and/or market research
✓	There is a system to monitor timely del	ivery of goods, works, and consulting services
✓	Agency complies with the thresholds p if any, in competitively bid contracts	rescribed for amendment to order, variation orders, and contract extensions,
12. In evaluating	g the performance of your procurement p	personnel, which of these conditions is/are present? (10a)
✓	Personnel roles, duties and responsibil commitment/s	ities involving procurement are included in their individual performance
✓	Procuring entity communicates standa	rds of evaluation to procurement personnel
✓	Procuring entity and procurement pers	onnel acts on the results and takes corresponding action

vithin the past t	nree (3) years? (10b)	
	Date of most recent training:	October 16, 2020
✓	Head of Procuring Entity (HOPE)	
\checkmark	Bids and Awards Committee (BAC)	
✓	BAC Secretariat/ Procurement/ Supply Unit	
✓	BAC Technical Working Group	
✓	End-user Unit/s	
✓	Other staff	
4. Which of the rocuring entity	e following is/are practised in order to ensure the private set (10c)	ector access to the procurement opportunities of the
✓	Forum, dialogues, meetings and the like (apart from prebidders at least once a year	-bid conferences) are conducted for all prospective
✓	The PE promptly responds to all interested prospective various communication channels	bidders' inquiries and concerns, with available facilities and

13. Which of the following procurement personnel have participated in any procurement training and/or professionalization program

15. In determining whether the BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records,

hich of thes	e conditions is/are present? (11a)
~	There is a list of procurement related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
v	The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and electronic copies in dedicated computers
_	The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel
	ining whether the Implementing Units has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records, e conditions is/are present? (11b)
V	There is a list of contract management related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
٧	The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and electronic copies in dedicated computers
٧	The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel
	ining if the agency has defined procedures or standards for quality control, acceptance and inspection ks and services, which of these conditions is/are present? (12a)
V	Agency has written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection of goods, services and works
Have you p	procured Infrastructure projects through any mode of procurement for the past year?
	Yes x No
If YES, p	lease answer the following:
Ī	Supervision of civil works is carried out by qualified construction supervisors Name of Civil Works Supervisor: MR. ROLINDO B. DEMO-OS, JR.
~	Agency implements CPES for its works projects and uses results to check contractors' qualifications (applicable for works only) Name of CPES Evaluator: MR. ROLINDO B. DEMO-OS, JR.
_	will it take for your agency to release the final payment to your supplier/service provider or contractor/consultant,once re complete? (12b) days
A B C D E	ting Observers for the following procurement activities, which of these conditions is/are met? (13a) Eligibility Checking (For Consulting Services Only) Shortlisting (For Consulting Services Only) Pre-bid conference Preliminary examination of bids Bid evaluation Post-qualification
v	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR
V	Observers are allowed access to and be provided documents, free of charge, as stated in the IRR
~	Observer reports, if any, are promptly acted upon by the procuring entity

	and operating your Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs s nditions were present? (14a)	specialized procurement audits,
✓	Creation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) in the agency Agency Order/DBM Approval of IAU position/s:	December 23, 2017
✓	Conduct of audit of procurement processes and transact	ions by the IAU within the last three years
✓	Internal audit recommendations on procurement-related of the internal auditor's report	matters are implemented within 6 months of the submission
21. Are COA re report? (14b)	ecommendations responded to or implemented within six m	onths of the submission of the auditors'
✓	Yes (percentage of COA recommendations responded to%	o or implemented within six months)
✓	No procurement related recommendations received	
	ing whether the Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement procedural requirements, which of conditions is/are present	, ,
✓	The HOPE resolved Protests within seven (7) calendar of	ays per Section 55 of the IRR
✓	The BAC resolved Requests for Reconsideration within s	seven (7) calendar days per Section 55 of the IRR
✓	Procuring entity acts upon and adopts specific measures referrals, subpoenas by the Omb, COA, GPPB or any qu	
	ing whether agency has a specific anti-corruption program/ e present? (16a)	s related to procurement, which of these
✓	Agency has a specific office responsible for the impleme	ntation of good governance programs
✓	Agency implements a specific good governance program	including anti-corruption and integrity development
✓	Agency implements specific policies and procedures in p	lace for detection and prevention of corruption

			T	V
No. Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	0	1	2	3
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement				
Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Below 70.00%	Between 70.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Between 91.00-100%
Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 39.99%	Between 40.00-50.00%	Above 50.00%
terms of volume of total procurement				
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement				
Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 7.00%	Between 6.00-7.00 %	Between 4.00-5.99 %	Below 4.00%
4 Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procuremen	Above 15.00%	Between 11.00 -15.00%	Between 6.00-10.99%	Below 6.00%
5 Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
6 Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total	Above 4.00%	Datuman 2 00 4 000/	D=t1.00.2.000/	Below 1.00%
procurement Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	Not Compliant	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Compliant
Compliance with Elmited Source Bidding procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process				
Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	Below 3.00	3.00-3.99	4.00-5.99	6.00 and above
Average number of bidders who submitted bids Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Below 2.00 Below 1.00	2.00-2.99 1.00 - 1.99	3.00-4.99 2.00-2.99	5.00 and above 3.00 and above
12 Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
specifications/requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY				
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations 14 Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
15 Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation		T	T	
16 An approved APP that includes all types of procurement Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and	Not Compliant			Compliant
17 Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Equipment from the Procurement Service	Note Co. III.			Committee :
18 Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System				
19 Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 70.99%	Between 71.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Above 91.00%
Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods poste	d Below 20.00%	Between 20.00 - 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency				
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information				
Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes				
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%	Between 40.00- 60.99%	Between 61.00% -80.00%	Above 80.00%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs Describes of total amount in the approved APPs	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%		Between 61.00% -80.00%	
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of				
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPS 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding 26 Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes 27 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPS 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes 27 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods Procure goods Procurement P	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of the proc	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%
Indicator 9. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPS 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes 27 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects 28 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects 29 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services 29 Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Par and There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of the procure of the procurement	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Ilicipants Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant
Indicator 9. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24 Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPS 25 Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding 26 Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe 27 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods 28 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects 29 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services 29 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services 29 Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services 29 Percentage of participating for Government Personnel and Private Sector Par and procurement personnel on a regular basis 20 Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99%	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100%
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Ilicipants Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Bicipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Bicipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Bicipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Bicipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Iticipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Bicipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% It compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Iticipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% It compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itigants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes 24	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itigants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Idipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itigants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Idiparts Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant On or before 30 days
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Idiparts Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant On or before 30 days
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 - 92.99% Partially Compliant Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Not Compliant	Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Iticipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Below 60% compliant	Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant On or before 30 days
Indicator 9. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Itipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Below 60% compliant	Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant
Indicator 9. Efficiency of Procurement Processes	Below 90.00% Not Compliant Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Below 90.00% Iticipants Not Compliant Less than 60.00% Trained Not Compliant Below 60% compliant	Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Between 90.00 to 95.99% Partially Compliant Between 60.00-75.99% Trained Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Partially Compliant Between 38-45 days	Between 93.00-95.00% Substantially Compliant Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Between 96.00 to 99.99% Substantially Compliant Between 76-90% of staff trained Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days Substantially Compliant Between 31-37 days Substantially Compliant	Above 95.00% Fully Compliant 100% 100% 100% 100% Fully Compliant Between 91.00-100% Trained Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant Fully Compliant On or before 30 days

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS Date of Self Assessment: MARCH 10, 2021

Name of Evaluator: JOSE S. ARICAYA

Position: BAC Chairman

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation
	AR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK				
inaic	ator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procureme	nτ			1
1.a	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	81.78%	2.00		PMRs
1.b	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	26.83%	1.00		PMRs
Indic	ator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement				
2.a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.b	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	18.22%	0.00		PMRs
2.c	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.d	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.e	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Repeat Order
2.f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Limited Source Bidding
Indic	ator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process				
3.a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	2.91	0.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	2.91	1.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	2.91	2.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.e	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Cost Benefit Analysis, Work Plans, Technical Specifications included in bidding documents
		Average I	1.91		<u> </u>
PILL/	AR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEME				
Indic	ator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations		1		1
4.a	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
4.b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
Indic	ator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation				1
5.a	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)
5.b	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Fully Compliant	3.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR
5.c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Compliant	3.00		ITBs and/or RFQs clearly indicate the use of green technical specifications for the procurement activity
India	ator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System				
	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-				
6.a	registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS Date of Self Assessment: MARCH 10, 2021

Name of Evaluator: JOSE S. ARICAYA

Position: BAC Chairman

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the	Supporting Information/Documentation
	7133C33TTCTTC CONGICTOTIS	rigency score	74 Ci i itating	Indicators and SubIndicators	(Not to be Included in the Evaluation
	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	0.00%	0.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
In C	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	0.00%	0.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
		·			

Name of Evaluator: JOSE S. ARICAYA

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS

Date of Self Assessment: MARCH 10, 2021

Position: BAC Chairman No. Comments/Findings to the Supporting Information/Documentation **Assessment Conditions APCPI Rating* Agency Score** Indicators and SubIndicators (Not to be Included in the Evaluation Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information Identify specific procurement-related Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement Fully 3.00 portion in the agency website and specific information easily accessible at no cost Compliant website links Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the Copy of PMR and received copy that it was Fully 7.b GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and 3.00 Compliant submitted to GPPB posting in agency website Average II 2.40 PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES **Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes** Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the APP (including Supplemental 8.a 87.01% 3.00 assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs amendments, if any) and PMRs Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total APP(including Supplemental amendments, 8.b number of procurement projects done through competitive 100.00% 3.00 if any)and PMRs bidding Agency Procedures/Systems for the conduct of needs analysis or market Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract research, monitoring of timely delivery of Fully 8.c outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted 3.00 goods, works, or services Compliant timeframe Contracts with amendments and variations to order amount to 10% or less **Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes** Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of **PMRs** 9.a 0.00% 0.00 action to procure goods Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of 9.b 0.00% 0.00 **PMRs** action to procure infrastructure projects Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of n/a n/a **PMRs** action to procure consulting services Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants Samples of forms used to evaluating There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the procurement performance on top of or Fully 10.a 3.00 performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis Compliant incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel Ask for copies of Office Orders, training Percentage of participation of procurement staff in 100.00% 3.00 modules, list of participants, schedules of procurement training and/or professionalization program actual training conducted The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector Ask for copies of documentation of and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the Compliant 3.00 activities for bidders procuring entity Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and Fully no more than two hours) 3.00 11.a maintaining procurement records Compliant Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance. Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for Verify actual contract management Fully 11.b keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable 3.00 records and time it took to retrieve Compliant contract management records records should be no more than two hours Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS Date of Self Assessment: MARCH 10, 2021

Name of Evaluator: JOSE S. ARICAYA

Position: BAC Chairman

Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation
Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection; CPES evaluation formsz
Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	On or before 30 days	3.00		Ask Finance or Accounting Head of Agency for average period for the release of payments for procurement contracts
	A	2.50		
	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts On or before	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts On or before 30 days 3.00	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts Agency Score APCPI Rating* Indicators and SubIndicators Fully Compliant On or before 30 days 3.00

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES BAROTAC NUEVO CAMPUS Date of Self Assessment: MARCH 10, 2021


Name of Evaluator: JOSE S. ARICAYA

Position: BAC Chairman

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation
PILLA	AR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREN	MENT SYSTEM			· ·
Indi	cator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding				
13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.)
Indic	ator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities	i			1
14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, auidt reports, action plans and IAU recommendations
14.b	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Above 90- 100% compliance	3.00		Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations
Indic	ator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints				
	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting mesures to address procurement-related complaints
Indic	ator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement				
16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify documentation of anti-corruption program
		Average IV	2.50		
GRAND TOTAL (Avarege I + Average II + Average III + Average IV / 4)			2.33		

Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar

	APCPI Pillars	Ideal Rating	Agency Rating
-1	Legislative and Regulatory Framework	3.00	1.91
II	Agency Insitutional Framework and Management Capacity	3.00	2.40
Ш	Procurement Operations and Market Practices	3.00	2.50
IV	Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems	3.00	2.50
	Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+ PillarIV)/4	3.00	2.33



Annex D

PROCUREMENT CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE

Name of Agency: ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES Main Poblacion Campus

Period: CY 2020

Sub-Indicators	Key Area for Development	Proposed Actions to Address Key Areas	Responsible Entity	Timetable	Resources Needed
1 .a	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Increase the percentage of public bidding as the default mode of procurement and limit the use of Alternative Method	BAC Chair / Secretariat / Procurement Officer/HOPE	2020	APP, Office supplies
1.b	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	Increase the percentage of public bidding as the default mode of procurement and limit the use of Alternative Method	BAC Chair / Secretariat / Procurement Officer/HOPE	2020	APP, Office supplies
2.a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.b	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Limit the percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement, whenever applicable	BAC Chair / Secretariat / Procurement Officer/HOPE	2020	APP, Office supplies
2.c	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.d	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement				
2.e	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures				
2.f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures				
3 .a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	Posting of procurement projects to conspicuous place, other government agencies bulletin of information and through all forms of communication to attain participation of bidders	HOPE, BAC BAC Sec, End-User	every procurement period	Invitation to Bid, Office Supplies
3.b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	Posting of procurement projects to conspicuous place, other government agencies bulletin of information and through all forms of communication to attain participation of bidders	HOPE, BAC BAC Sec, End-User	every procurement period	Invitation to Bid, Office Supplies
3.c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Prepare Technical and Eligibility Documents Checklist for the awreness of Bidders	BAC Secretariat	bidders who bought bid docs	Invitation to Bid, Office Supplies
3.d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids				
3.e	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements				
4.a	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)				
4.b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit				

					1
5.a	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement				
5.b	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common- Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service				
5.c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted				
6.a	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS- registered Agency				
6.b	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Contract award of procurement projects by the agency should be posted by PhilGEPS electronic system, procuring entity's website.	BAC Secretariat	as scheduled	Contract Award
6.c	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Contract award of procurement projects by the agency should be posted by PhilGEPS electronic system, procuring entity's website.	BAC Secretariat	as scheduled	Contract Award
7.a	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost				
7.b	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB- prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website				
8.b	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding				
8.c	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe				
9.a	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Contract should be awarded within the prescribed period of action to procure goods in accordance with the implementing rules and regulations.	BAC Secretariat	as scheduled	Contract Award
9.b	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	Contract should be awarded within the prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects in accordance with the implementing rules and regulations.	BAC Secretariat	as scheduled	Contract Award
9.c	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services				
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis				
10.b	-	Procurement staff will be sent to attend tha procurement training and/or proffesionalization program as soon as possible	BAC Chair / Secretariat / Procurement Officer/HOPE	as scheduled	Secure training schedule from the accredited training centers from GPPB.
10.c	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity				
11.a	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records				

11.b	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records		
12.a	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance		
12.b	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts		
13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR		
14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits		
14.b	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions		
15.a	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements		
16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement		